

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/852,681

Applicant(s)

ONO, RYO

Examiner

Mathieu D. Vargot

Art Unit

1732

All Participants:(1) Mathieu D. Vargot(2) Mr. Meikle (Attv)**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 May 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic



Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

Claims discussed:

4-8, 11 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Claims 4-8, 11 and 12 were amended to remove the improper multiple dependency language—i.e., "and" was changed to —or—.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)